Human Mobility in the context of the COVID-19 Pandemic
– Capturing key information on human mobility during the pandemic (2020 – 2021)

Information included in DTM’s COVID-19 workstreams, March 2020 – March 2021:

4,384 PoEs across 182 C/T/As
227 C/T/As issuing international air travel restriction
150 reports on impact on migrants

At the border
IOM has developed a Global Mobility Database in response to COVID-19 to map, track and analyse the impact of the pandemic. The Points of Entry (PoEs) Baseline workstream tracks operational status of PoEs worldwide. There are a number of different types of PoE analytical reports aiming to inform insights at the global, regional and country level.

On international air travel
The Travel Restrictions Tracking workstream captures various air travel restrictions related to the COVID-19 pandemic, covering a large range of countries, territories and areas (C/T/As). It focuses on the changes to pre-existing immigration and border management measures affecting migrants and travellers travelling on specific routes or with specific travel documentation. The database and ensuing analysis of collected data highlight emerging changes of mobility requirements.

Within the country/territory/area
The Global Mobility Database also tracks key locations of internal mobility and internal mobility restrictions. This includes tracking restrictive measures such as national lockdowns and school closures, identifying locations with high level of mobility restriction, and sites where stranded migrants are present.

The impact on migrants
IOM is tracking information on the impact on vulnerable populations (including stranded persons, returnees/repatriations, affected populations in transit centres and camps/camp like settings) that have been affected by mobility restrictions imposed by countries, territories and areas as a result of the COVID-19 outbreak.

Defining and quantifying air travel restrictions throughout the pandemic

Entry restrictions: These are total restrictions which do not allow the entry of passengers of a given country, territory, or area (C/T/A).
Conditions for authorized entry: These are partial restrictions in the form of specific requirements upon which entry is incumbent. These conditions include public health measures, new requirements on visa/travel documents or other specific requirements for entry.

Click to view the latest report on international air travel restrictions

Operational status of PoE globally by type, as of March 2021*

Click to view the latest full report on global Points of Entry

DTM conducts indepth analyses for all data collected, including regular reports, travel restrictions matrix, interactive maps, dashboards and many more. Datasets are publicly available for all interested parties. To view the methodology, analytical products and download the datasets please visit migration.iom.int
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– Comparing the pandemic and human mobility

Guidance on International Travel Restrictions

On 30 January 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared COVID-19 as a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC). Following the declaration, travel restrictions were issued across the world in hope of containing the spread of the virus.

On 29 February 2020, WHO published recommendations on travel restrictions, advising against the application of long-term travel or trade restrictions to countries experiencing COVID-19 outbreaks. Evidence shows that restricting the movement of people and goods during public health emergencies is ineffective in most situations and may divert resources from other interventions.

DTM’s global COVID-19 workstreams witnessed a broad adoption of strict long-term (more than 24 hours) international air travel restrictions, as well as the complete shutdown of Points of Entry in many countries, areas and territories (C/T/As).

Relationship between international travel restrictions and infectious disease – What we know so far

Numerous travel and border measures were developed in 2020 – from entry and exit screening, health declaration forms to quarantine and other measures. However, many interventions were experimental and lacked an evidence base, or were based on evidence from previous epidemics that lacked key features of COVID-19. For example, thermal screening on passengers at airport exit and entry is unlikely to be effective at detecting infected travellers.

Historically...
A study that reviewed 3 influenza pandemics in human history have found that international travel restrictions might be able to delay the arrival of a pandemic by a few days or weeks. However, they showed limited effect on reducing the final pandemic size or mortality.

On COVID-19...
A review that examined existing studies on travel-related control measures to contain the COVID-19 pandemic identified the need for more substantial evidence, as a lot of current studies advising on travel measures were derived from models with potentially missing parameters.

On Ebola...
Study on the risk of Ebola virus disease (EVD) found that travel restrictions were not effective enough to expect the prevention of global spread of EVD. Another study showed that entry screening at airport for countries with active transmission of Ebola may not be an effective strategy.

Evolution of air travel restrictions (number of measures issued)*

Points of Entry that are closed or partially operational*

Overview of the Pandemic and Mobility Restrictions (March 2020 – March 2021)

Based on data collected by DTM, a large number of prolonged entry restrictions were issued in the first half of 2020, following an increased adoption of public health measures (i.e. quarantine, medical certificate, test upon arrival, health declaration forms etc).

By March 2021, almost half of the Points of Entry assessed by DTM in the world are closed or just partially operational. A causal relationship cannot be established through the information provided in this report. Instead, the report aims to provide insights for further studies.
Re-opening borders

With various public health measures taking effect and vaccines against COVID-19 being rolled out, many countries have been planning to lift restrictions and reopen borders. WHO published the “Considerations for implementing a risk-based approach to international travel in the context of COVID-19” to advise on considerations to be made when lifting measures. The recommendations include prioritizing emergency and essential international travel, conducting risk assessment for mitigation measures and focusing on the health and well-being of communities when deciding on travel-related restrictions.9

Below are three potential areas which DTM’s data can support in the next phase.

**Understanding Capacity**

As the DTM Global Mobility Database grows larger and attracts more participants, DTM and IOM Immigration Border Management (IBM) launched an additional module – a survey that assesses the PoEs’ preparedness and capacity to respond to COVID-19. With input from national border authorities, the IBM module provides high-quality, detailed information on PoEs. Stakeholders can use this information to identify regions where PoEs are not fully operational because of the lack of capacity to respond to COVID-19, and plan accordingly.

**Research and Analysis**

Existing evidence for the effect of travel-related measures on controlling COVID-19 were mainly derived from modelling studies. There is a lack of “real-life” evidence.6 More and more countries are now implementing a mixture of entry restrictions and public health measures, however, little effort was put into developing a strategic framework.3 The dynamics between entry restrictions, public health measures and the spread of COVID-19 need to be closely examined to inform evidence-based decisions. The rich and detailed data from DTM’s COVID-19 Global Mobility Database and the Mobility Tracking Database provide a solid foundation for further research and analysis.

**Health and Well-being of Communities**

The overall health and well-being of communities should be at the forefront when considering the implementation of travel restrictions.9 Migrants constitute an essential part of most communities, and are among the groups that are most likely to be negatively affected by strict travel restrictions. DTM has been tracking and monitoring the impact on migrants to better understand the challenges they face.

Some reported examples of these challenges include:

- Hindered mobility
- Cost and logistics of travel to return home
- Xenophobia, discrimination and stigmatization
- Immigration (legal) status of migrants
- Stranded at sea due to a combination of port closures, disembarkation restrictions, and travel bans
- Increased risk of contracting COVID-19 due to precarious working and living conditions
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Disclaimer

The opinions expressed in the report are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the International Organization for Migration (IOM). The designations employed and the presentation of material throughout the report do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of IOM concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or of its authorities, or concerning its frontiers or boundaries.

IOM is committed to the principle that humane and orderly migration benefits migrants and society. As an intergovernmental organization, IOM acts with its partners in the international community to: assist in the meeting of operational challenges of migration; advance understanding of migration issues; encourage social and economic development through migration; and uphold the human dignity and well-being of migrants.

This publication has not been formally edited by IOM.

Please send any feedback, comments and suggestions related to this report to the DTM COVID-19 Team at dtmcovid19@iom.int
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