OVERVIEW

The IDP Area Baseline assessment provides granular data on the number and geographic location of officially registered internally displaced persons (IDPs). Data collection for the Area Baseline assessment Round 18 took place between 28 November and 11 December across 22 oblasts and Kyiv city. Since last round, DTM has expanded its geographical coverage by collecting the IDP figures from local authorities in Donetsk oblast. In the areas covered, the number of recorded IDPs was collected for all 109 raions and 948 hromadas (71 per cent of all hromadas in the covered oblasts). In addition, gender disaggregated figures were provided across 52 per cent of hromadas — in those areas, 61 per cent of registered IDPs were females and 39 per cent were males in assessed locations.

This report assesses registered IDP presence at the hromada and oblast-levels, while also mapping the percentage change since the previous round. It further highlights the rate of change at the macro-regional level and charts the registered IDP figures at the raion-level across the previous 10 rounds.

IOM’s glossary defines IDPs as persons or groups of persons who have been forced or obliged to flee or to leave their homes or places of habitual residence, in particular as a result of or in order to avoid the effects of armed conflict, situations of generalized violence, violations of human rights or natural or human-made disasters, and who have not crossed an internationally recognized state border. Operationally, for this assessment, IOM define and understand IDPs as persons who officially registered as fleeing their habitual place of residence due to the current war in Ukraine.

KEY INSIGHTS

- Round 18 includes information from 948 out of 1,319 hromadas in the covered areas (71%).
- Among oblasts, Kharkivska (442,354), Dnipropetrovska (362,832) and Kyivska (338,737) have the highest number of formally registered IDPs.
- At the raion level, Kyivska, Kharkivska, Zaporizhzhia, Dniprovski and Lvivska host the highest number of registered IDPs, each, with over 132,000.
- Of the total registered IDPs, the majority have been displaced from Donetsk, Kharkivska and Luhanska oblasts.
- Out of the 146 cities/towns that reported IDP figures, Kyiv, Kharkiv, Dnipropetrovsk, Zaporizhzhia and Lviv recorded the highest registered displacement figures.

3,623,125 registered IDPs
+ 74,208 (+2%) since Round 17 (25 November)

61% of registered IDPs are female
39% of registered IDPs are male

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oblasts (and Kyiv city)</th>
<th>Raions</th>
<th>Hromadas</th>
<th>Settlements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Registered IDPs per hromada</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 - 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,001 - 5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,001 - 20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,001 - 100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100,001 - 220,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Round 18 registered IDP Presence per Raion, as of 11 December 2022.
**RECENT MOVEMENTS**

Since the previous round, the official figures for IDPs have increased by nearly 74,200 (+2%). The highest increases are recorded in Kyivska (+4%, 14,383), Cherkaska (+3%) and Odeska (+2%).

Despite the sustained strikes and conflict-related violence in Eastern and Central Ukraine, IDP registration keeps growing fast in these areas - suggesting that new displacements continue to be largely intra-regional. While non-registered IDPs are not accounted for in this analysis, westward movements to relatively safer areas appear to be stable. Further information about mobility intentions and broader mobility trends can be found in the IOM General Population Survey.

A moderate decrease is visible in the West of the country. In particular, Zakarpatska oblast showed a substantial fall in registered IDPs (-3%, 5,997). Deregistration, however, does not fully account for IDP movements away from their previous location of displacement.

**TRENDS OVER TIME**

1 Please note: columns marked in light blue colour indicate areas where change in IDP registration numbers is likely due to a change in geographic coverage by this assessment, rather than reflecting a change in the number of IDPs present in the Macroregion. Humanitarian data is available upon request.

Since August 2022, DTM Baseline has covered all macro-regions1 of Ukraine. Information on officially registered presence of IDPs is now available for all areas of the country for multiple consecutive rounds, enabling IOM to track trends through time.

Since October 16, the Eastern macro-region shows the largest increase in absolute terms (+93,000), reaching 1 million registered IDPs as of the beginning of December due to the increase of the coverage. In percentage terms, however, Kyiv City (+13%) and Southern oblasts (+12%) were the fastest growing areas. The number of registered IDPs in Western Ukraine (+20%), on the other hand, increased partly because of expanded coverage since round 16 data collection activities in the region. In particular, information available for Lvivska, Ivano-Frankivska and Zakarpatska, has increased substantially since October.

**METHODOLOGY AND FURTHER INFORMATION**

Data for the Area Baseline Assessment is collected through a Key Informant (KI) network within the area of coverage. DTM field team work continuously to maintain and expand their KI network while triangulating and verifying recorded figures on registered displacement in each hromada.

For more insight on displacement trends and a sectoral analysis of needs, see IOM Internal Displacement Report (General Population Survey) which provides national and macro-region level estimates and insights on de-facto displacement (registered and unregistered IDPs) and needs using phone surveys and a randomized sampling approach. More information is available at displacement.iom.int/Ukraine. In case of questions or feedback please contact DTMUkraine@iom.int

---

1 Please note: columns marked in blue colour indicate areas where change in IDP registration numbers is likely due to a change in geographic coverage by this assessment, rather than reflecting a change in the number of IDPs present in the Macroregion. Humanitarian data is available upon request.

2 The macro-regions include the following oblasts: WEST: Ivano-Frankivska, Volynska, Zakarpatska, Lvivska, Rivnenska, Ternopilska Chmielnicka, Khmelnytska; CENTRE: Vinnytska, Kievohranivska, Poltavska, Cherkaska; EAST: Dnipropetrovska, Kharkivska, NORTH: Chernihivska, Kyivska, Sumenska, Zhytomyrska; SOUTH: Odeska, Mykolivska; Kyiv City is counted separately.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cherkaska</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chernihivska</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyiv City</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lvivska</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVAILABLE IDP PRESENCE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- Available IDP presence is provided for each raion.
- The data includes the administrative units of Kirovohradska, Chernivetska, and Chernihivska Oblasts.
- The table shows the number of IDPs (Internally Displaced People) present in each raion as of the indicated dates.
- The data is divided into several rounds, with different dates spanning from 19 Aug. to 11 Nov.
- The columns represent the cumulative presence of IDPs across these dates.

**Additional Information:**
- The data reflects the trend and changes in IDP presence over time across various administrative regions.
- The table is crucial for tracking the impact of conflict and displacement in these areas.

**Sources:**
- Data compiled from various sources, including humanitarian organizations and government reports.
- The data is used to inform humanitarian aid, relief efforts, and policy-making.

**Key Points:**
- The data highlights the dynamic nature of displacement, with variations in IDP presence across different raions.
- It underscores the need for continued monitoring and support for IDPs.
- The table is essential for stakeholders working in humanitarian response, disaster management, and urban planning.
### Administrative Unit

#### Vinnytska Oblast
- Bashtanskyi
- Mykolayivska
- Pryvomysl’skyi
- Voznesenskyi

#### Poltavskaya Oblast
- Bereslavskyi
- Bilhorod-Dnistrovskyi
- Bolhradskyi
- Izmailskyi
- Odeskyi
- Podilskyi

#### Sumska Oblast
- Rozdilskyi
- Kremenchutskyi
- Lubenskyi
- Myhordonskyi
- Dubenskyi
- Rivne
- Samerskyi
- Varashskyi
- Konotopskyi
- Okhtyrskyi
- Romenskyi
- Shostkynskyi
- Sumskyi

#### Odeska Oblast
- Berezovskyi
- Bezhradskyi
- Bilhorod-Dnistrovskyi
- Bolhradskyi
- Izmailskyi
- Odeskyi
- Podilskyi

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mykolayivska</td>
<td>Bashtanskyi</td>
<td>10,900</td>
<td>11,907</td>
<td>12,221</td>
<td>12,545</td>
<td>12,545</td>
<td>13,763</td>
<td>13,538</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td>14,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mykolayivska</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pryvomysl’skyi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58,583</td>
<td>54,333</td>
<td>60,321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Voznesenskyi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15,408</td>
<td>20,491</td>
<td>20,557</td>
<td>20,268</td>
<td>20,268</td>
<td>12,843</td>
<td>20,086</td>
<td>21,128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Registered IDP Presence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Disclaimer:**
The opinions expressed in the report do not necessarily reflect the views of the International Organization for Migration (IOM). The designations employed and the presentation of material throughout the report do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of IOM concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or of its authorities or concerning its frontiers or boundaries.